UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,602	03/18/2004	Aaron S. Filner	13768.783.110	9554
*****	7590 11/15/200  YDEGGER/MICROS	EXAMINER		
1000 EAGLE C	SATE TOWER	PESIN, BORIS M		
60 EAST SOUT SALT LAKE C	TH TEMPLE TTY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No. Applica		Applicant(s)	olicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/804,602		FILNER ET AL.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Boris Pesin		2174			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appe	ears on the (	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period wi y will, by statute, o	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no even ill apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>31 Au</i>	iaust 2007					
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 August 2007</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
′ <b>—</b>		<i>-</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
٥/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the	annlication						
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	are withdraw	in monit cons	nacration.				
·	• • ———							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-4!	-1					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election red	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner	•					
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u>□</u> acce	pted or b)[	objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the d	Irawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	on is required	I if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date			I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 8) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/804,602 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

This communication is responsive to the amendment filed 8/31/2007.

Claims 1-16 are pending in this application. Claim 1 is an independent claim. In the amendment filed 8/31/2007, claim 1 was amended. This action is made Non-Final.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/31/2007 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2005/0195221 A1 (hereinafter Berger) in view of Terane et al. (US 6734909).

As per claim 1, Berger discloses of a mobile computing device having limited display capabilities, a method for displaying a full readable content page despite the limited display capabilities of the mobile device, the method comprising: "dividing a page of content into a plurality of regions" by dividing a raster image or document into segments or regions (Berger page 2 [0012] lines 6-10); "displaying the plurality of the regions of the page content together as a thumbnail and in a reduced size on a display of a mobile computing device" by producing a raster image that is segmented and in a smaller size (Berger page 4 [0051] lines 1-6); "detecting a request to display a selected one of the regions" by a user demarcating regions for display on a display (Berger page 3 [0021] lines 3-12); "replacing the thumbnail on the display by displaying the selected region in a size that is expanded relative to the reduced size" by displaying an expanded version (Figure 14B object 1404) of the selected region on a panel adjacent to the panel consisting of the reduced version (Figure 14B object 1402) as shown by Figure 14B (Berger Figure 14B).

Berger does not teach, from the displayed selected region, detecting a request to display a second region of the plurality of regions of the content page, the second region having been displayed in the thumbnail and excluded from the selected region displayed in the expanded size; in response to detecting the request to display the second region that is excluded from the selected region, temporarily re-displaying the

Art Unit: 2174

thumbnail on the display, the temporarily displayed thumbnail now highlighting the newly selected second region; and after temporarily displaying the thumbnail following selection of the second region, displaying the second region on the display in a size that is expanded relative to the reduced size of the second region in the thumbnail.

Terane teaches, from the displayed selected region, detecting a request to display a second region of the plurality of regions of the content page, the second region having been displayed in the thumbnail and excluded from the selected region displayed in the expanded size (Column 8, Lines 4-9, when the user presses the down key, this causes the screen to switch from the full image to the index screen (i.e. thumbnail) which displays many other regions (including a second region), and these regions were not previously displayed because the full image was displayed); in response to detecting the request to display the second region that is excluded from the selected region, temporarily re-displaying the thumbnail on the display, the temporarily displayed thumbnail now highlighting the newly selected second region (Column 8, Lines 4-9 and Column 8 Lines 14-20, in the index screen, the user is able to move around to select any of the regions, when the user moves the cursor, different regions are highlighted, See Figure 6C. Also, since the index screen is not permanent and will disappear when the user selects the desired region (i.e. picture), it is considered temporarily displayed); and after temporarily displaying the thumbnail following selection of the second region, displaying the second region on the display in a size that is expanded relative to the reduced size of the second region in the thumbnail (Column 8, Lines 18-20, when the user selects the final key, the full image will be displayed on the

screen). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Berger with the teachings of Terane and include a method wherein a user can easily zoom out of the selected regions and be able to select another region and see which region is selected with the motivation to provide the user with a quicker method of changing regions since only one button operation (down key) is necessary and provide for more free space on the screen since an attached preview window would not be necessary.

As per claim 2, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "providing a navigation grid having a plurality of regions which can each be navigated to via navigation commands" by superimposing a grid onto a raster image and having user interface mechanisms to areas of the grid as user desires (Berger page 6 [0061] lines 8-18 and page 7 [0069] lines 1-5).

As per claim 3, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "panelizing the content into panelized regions" by creating panels in a display (Berger page 12 [0108] lines 3-6).

As per claim 4, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "providing a tooltip that is based on the content of a region that is being displayed in the reduced size" by having unique labels for the segments in the display (Berger page 2 [0017] lines 6-10).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 5, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "scaling the selected region such that its content can be viewed by scrolling in only one dimension" by eliminating the requirement of scrolling to one direction (Berger page 4 [0049] lines 1-2).

As per claim 6, the rejection of claim 5 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "detecting a request to display a second region comprises scrolling in a second dimension, wherein scrolling in a second dimension is indicative of a request to change the displayed region from the previously selected region to another region" by scrolling to a new segment and displaying the adjacent segment (Berger page 2 [0015] lines 20-26). Furthermore, Terane teaches that the index screen will be displayed when the bottom key is pressed (Column 8, Lines 4-9).

As per claim 7, the rejection of claim 6 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "providing a visual indication of the change of regions" by distinguishing a selected segment with symbol overlays, region highlighting, region coloring, region border highlighting, etc. (Berger page 2 [0014] lines 6-12).

As per claim 8, the rejection of claim 5 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "scrolling in the one dimension beyond a limit in the region changes the displayed region from the previously selected region to another region" by changing the display to

Art Unit: 2174

the next segment if scrolling has gone beyond the previous segment's border (Berger page 2 [0015] lines 20-26).

As per claim 9, the rejection of claim 8 is incorporated and claim 9 contains the same limitations as claim 7 and is rejected under the same rationale as set forth in connection with claim 7.

As per claim 10, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "providing a cursor that indicates which region will be selected as the selected region upon detecting the request to display one of the regions" by having cursor available to select the segments of the page (Berger page 5 [0055] lines 6-13).

As per claim 11, the rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "the cursor is provided as a grid framing marker" by supplying a user interface mechanism, which constitutes the cursor, a way to modify the grid (Berger page 7 [0069] lines 5-11).

As per claim 12, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "providing the cursor comprises marking a border around a panelized region" by distinguishing a region with symbol overlays, region highlighting, region coloring, region border highlighting, etc. (Berger page 2 [0014] lines 6-12).

As per claim 13, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "scaling the regions such that the regions can be viewed by scrolling in only one dimension" by reformatting the size so that scrolling in one direction is not required (Berger page 4 [0049] lines 1-2 and page12 [0107] lines 1-3).

As per claim 14, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "receiving the content as a web page" by receiving a web page, document, or other content (Berger page 4 [0050] lines 10-13).

As per claim 15, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "scrolling within the selected region" by allowing the capability of scrolling in a selected segment (Berger page 2 [0015] lines 20-26).

As per claim 16, the rejection of claim 15 is incorporated and Berger discloses of "jumping between two links based on a single directional command" by jumping to another segment by clicking or identifying a new location (Berger page 14 [0120] lines 1-4, 7-10 and page 14 [0123] lines 1-11).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6700612 Anderson

Teaches displaying a representation showing the location of a zoomed in workspace.

# Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Pesin whose telephone number is (571) 272-4070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday except every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,602 Page 10

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Boris Pesin/ Art Unit 2174